## Memorandum

To: ODOT Region 4
From: Melissa Webb, PE
Date: August 22, 2022
Subject: Sunset Meadows
Transportation Impact Study Addendum \#1


EXPIRATION DATE: Y|30|20R4

## Introduction

This memorandum is written in response to comments received by Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) staff regarding the Sunset Meadows Transportation Impact Study, dated June 3rd, 2022, and serves as an addendum to the original report.

ODOT staff have requested additional information in five main areas, each of which are addressed in detail in this addendum:

1. Additional information regarding the proposed mitigation of W Hood Avenue at OR-242.
2. Address OAR 734-051-4020(2)(b) Channelization Standards
3. Address OAR 734-051-4020(2)(c) Sight Distance Standards
4. Address OAR 734-051-4020(3)(b) Safety and Operation Concerns b, c, and d
5. Address OAR 734-051-4020(8) Access Management Spacing Standards

## Proposed Mitigation: W Hood Avenue at OR-242

The intersection of W Hood Avenue at OR-242 is projected to operate with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio in excess of ODOT performance targets during the morning peak hour for the year 2025 background scenario. This is due largely in part to the expected increase in traffic volumes from the in-process development of the proposed Sisters Elementary School. The LOS, delay, and v/c results of the study intersection are shown in Table 1 for the morning and evening peak hours.

Table 1: Capacity Analysis Summary

| Intersection \& Condition | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (s) | V/C | LOS | Delay (s) | V/C |  |
|  | 6. | W Hood Avenue at OR-242 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 Existing Conditions | B | 13 | 0.56 | A | 8 | 0.18 |  |
| 2025 Background Conditions | F | 64 | 1.09 (EBL) | A | 9 | 0.24 |  |
| 2025 Buildout Conditions | F | 79 | 1.16 (EBL) | A | 9 | 0.26 |  |
| 2030 Buildout Conditions | F | 90 | 1.20 (EBL) | A | 9 | 0.28 |  |

Table Notes: OR-242 is a district highway with a posted speed of $40-55 \mathrm{mph}$, and has a target maximum allowage $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.90 . BOLDED results indicate operation above acceptable jurisdictional standards.

City of Sisters staff have indicated that restriping the northbound and southbound lanes to eliminate the dedicated left-turn lanes on both approaches is preferred given that these intersection legs create a wide pedestrian crossing. Table 2 shows a comparison of the LOS, delay, and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios for a scenario involving the current dedicated left-turn lanes on the northbound and southbound legs, as well as a scenario where there is one shared lane for all turning movements on the northbound and southbound legs.

Table 2: Capacity Analysis Summary - Lane Configuration Scenarios

| Intersection \& Condition | AM Peak Hour - With Dedicated Left-Turn Lanes |  |  | AM Peak Hour - One Shared Lane for Turning Movements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (s) | V/C | LOS | Delay (s) | V/C |
| 6. W Hood Avenue at OR-242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 Existing Conditions | B | 13 | 0.56 | B | 12 | 0.55 |
| 2025 Background Conditions | F | 64 | 1.09 (EBL) | F | 58 | 1.08 (EBL) |
| 2025 Buildout Conditions | F | 79 | 1.16 (EBL) | F | 75 | 1.15 (EBL) |
| 2030 Buildout Conditions | F | 90 | 1.20 (EBL) | F | 89 | 1.20 (EBL) |

Table Notes: BOLDED results indicate operation above acceptable jurisdictional standards.

With one shared lane for all turning movements, there is a slight improvement in the delay and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios: however, the intersection will continue to operate above acceptable jurisdictional standards.

OR-242 is anticipated to see an increase in pedestrian traffic from residential developments near W Hood Avenue, as students and caregivers access the elementary, middle, and high school campuses via a multi-use path that runs along OR-242 from W Hood Avenue to McKinney Butte Road. While restriping the intersection to allow for one shared lane for all turning movements on the northbound and southbound legs will not significantly improve the operation of the intersection, narrowing these approaches would reduce driver confusion and provide a shorter and safer pedestrian crossing with better visibility.

## Suggested Mitigation

A review of the operational analysis shows that the primary reason for the intersection of W Hood Avenue at OR-242 experiencing future high delays is that the majority of the traffic occurs during a small portion of the hour. The peak 15-minute time was measured to occur from 8:10 AM to 8:25 AM with a peak hour factor (PHF) of 0.52 , which coincides with parents dropping off middle school children. The PHF was not adjusted for intersection with the addition of the in-process projects, including the Sisters Elementary School. As a result,
high delays are expected to be experienced on the northbound and eastbound approaches as vehicles head toward the schools and later return.

The current school hours (2021-2022 school year) within the Sisters School District are as follows:
Sisters Elementary School (existing school located on E Cascade Avenue)
8:35 AM - 3:05 PM
Sisters Middle School,
8:30 AM - 3:14 PM M-Th
8:30 AM - 1:15 PM Friday
Sisters High School
7:30 AM - 3:20 PM M-Th
7:30 AM - 1:20 PM Friday
This schedule is currently planned to remain the same when the new elementary school is constructed but will be dependent on the school bus schedule. The school district has indicated that they have the ability to stagger start times by no more than 10-15 minutes given the district population, number of busses, and staffing of bus drivers.

The current schedule has a 5-minute offset between the start times of the elementary school (8:35 AM) and the middle school (8:30 AM). If the school district can increase the start time offset to 10-15 minutes, the peaking characteristics of the school traffic will be spread across a wider portion of the peak hour. This in turn will cause the PHF to increase. An increase in the PHF from 0.52 to 0.62 (a 19\% increase) would bring the intersection into compliance with ODOT's maximum v/c ratio of 0.90 for the year 2025 buildout scenario, even during the most congested portion of the peak hour.

Widening the roadway to add a dedicated right-turn lane on the eastbound leg is not recommended as it would be unnecessary all other times outside of this 15-minute peak window on school days. In addition, City of Sisters staff have recommended that in lieu of capacity improvements at the intersection, mitigation strategies should instead focus on safety and providing a clear and safe school route.

Suggested mitigation for improving safety at this intersection includes:

- Restriping to eliminate northbound and southbound left turn lanes, as well as the sweeping southbound right-turn, resulting in one shared lane for all turning movements at each approach leg. Narrowing these approaches will provide a shorter pedestrian crossing with better visibility and yielding, as well as reduce driver confusion related to which vehicle may have stopped first.
- Adding crosswalk striping across the northern and eastern approaches.


## OAR 734-051-4020(2)(b) Channelization Standards

An application meets the channelization standards of this rule if none of the conditions below exist:
(A) Average daily trips for the existing or proposed development exceed four hundred (400) for an application on a two-lane highway with annual average daily traffic (AADT) of five thousand $(5,000)$ or more motor vehicles, or
(B) Average daily trips for the existing or proposed development exceed four hundred (400) for an application on a four-lane highway with annual average daily traffic of ten thousand $(10,000)$ or more motor vehicles, or
(C) Average daily trips for the existing or proposed development multiplied by the annual average daily traffic on the highway is equal to or greater than the products listed in the Table 1-Channelization standards.

Conditions (A) and (B) are not met as OR-242, a two-lane highway, has an annual average daily traffic of 1,628 vehicles (reported in 2020 at milepoint 91.29, approximately 0.02 miles east of Edgington Road).

Condition (C): The trip generation shown in Table 3 of the original TIS shows a total of 1,390 average daily trips estimated for the proposed development. The product of the average daily trips and the AADT of the abutting highway is 2.3 million, which is less than the criteria shown in Figure 1 for a two-lane highway with a posted speed of 30 mph . Therefore, Condition (C) is not met.

734-051-4020
Standards and Criteria for Approval of Private Approaches

| Table 1-Channelization Standards |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Product of Property Average daily trips Multiplied by the Abutting Highway Annual |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Daily Traffic (Millions) |  |  |  |
| Number of <br> Highway Lanes | Posted Speed <br> 25 mph or <br> lower | Posted Speed <br> $30-35 \mathrm{mph}$ | Posted Speed <br> $40-45 \mathrm{mph}$ | Posted Speed <br> 50 mph or <br> higher |
| 2 lanes | 5.1 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| 4 lanes | 10.2 | 7.8 | 3.6 | 2.6 |

Figure 1: Table 1 (Channelization Standards), OAR 745-051-4020(2)(b)(C)

As the application meets the channelization standards, OAR 734-051-3050(7) Approval of Requests for Deviations from Channelization Standards is not applicable, and a deviation will not be pursued as part of this project.

## OAR 734-051-4020(2)(c) Sight Distance Standards

Based on a posted speed of 40 mph along OR-242, as well as verification of the posted speed by ODOT's Region Traffic Operations Engineer, the minimum recommended intersection sight distance is 445 feet ${ }^{1}$. At the proposed access location, sight distances to the east and west were measured to exceed 450 feet.

Adequate sight distances are available at the proposed site access intersection to ensure safe operation along OR-242. No sight distance mitigation is necessary or recommended. In addition, OAR 734-051-3050(8) Approval of Requests for Deviations from Sight Distance Standards is not applicable, and a deviation will not be pursued as part of this project.

A copy of the ODOT Speed Zone Order indicating the posted speed along OR-242 is included in the appendix.

[^0]
## OAR 734-051-4020(3) Safety and Operation Concerns

(b) Overlapping left turn movements or competing use of a center turn lane from a connection located on the opposite side of the highway

OR-242 is a two-lane highway with no center turn lane and left-turn lane warrants are not met for the proposed access onto OR-242. Therefore, the issues of overlapping left turn movements and competing use of a center turn lane does not apply to this project.

To determine the expected queuing which may form at the OR-242 site access location, a queuing analysis was conducted based on the results of a Synchro/SimTraffic simulation, with the reported values representing $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue is a statistical measurement which indicates there is a 5 percent chance that the queue may exceed this length during the analysis period; however, given this is a probability, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length may theoretically never be met or observed in the field. In order to provide an analysis for a worst-case scenario, the analysis is based on the peak 15-minute periods of the morning peak hour. Five trial runs of the simulation were conducted.

All reported queue lengths were rounded to the nearest 25 feet, and all values less than 25 feet were rounded up to 25 feet, or the approximate length of one vehicle.

A private driveway which serves two taxlots is located west of the project site on the south side of OR-242. There is an available storage length of approximately 150 feet before queues waiting to turn left into the proposed development begin to block the private driveway access. Based on the queuing analysis, the maximum queue length at the site access intersection along OR-242 is 25 feet, which is within the available storage length.
(c) Location of the proposed approach within a highway segment with a crash rate that is twenty (20) percent or higher than the statewide average for similar highways

The proposed approach is located on OR-242 between Brooks Camp Road and W Hood Avenue. Using data obtained from ODOT's Crash Data System, a review of approximately five years of the most recent available crash history (January 2016 through December 2020) was performed along the roadway segment. There were no reported crashes at the intersections of Brooks Camp Road at OR-242 and W Hood Avenue at OR-242 during the analysis period. In addition, there were no reported crashes along the roadway segment between Brooks Camp Road and W Hood Avenue during the analysis period.
(d) Location of the proposed approach within a highway segment listed in the top five percent of locations identified by the Safety Priority Index System (SPIS) developed by the department

The highway segment of OR-242 between Brooks Camp Road and US-20 is not listed in the Region 42020 OnState, Top 15\% SPIS report ${ }^{2}$.

[^1]
## OAR 734-051-4020(8) Access Management Spacing Standards

Based on a posted speed of 40 mph along OR-242, the minimum access spacing standard is 360 feet $^{3}$. The proposed access location along OR-242 is located approximately 490 feet west from the intersection of W Hood Avenue at OR-242.

The most recent site plan shows that the proposed new site access location along OR-242 complies with the access spacing standards described in OAR 734-051-4020(8) and shown in the Oregon Highway Plan. In addition, OAR 734-051-3050(5) Approval of Requests for Deviations from Approach Spacing Standards is not applicable, and a deviation will not be pursued as part of this project.

## Conclusions

The following key findings relate to transportation:

- Suggested mitigation for improving safety at this intersection includes:
- Restriping to eliminate northbound and southbound left turn lanes, as well as the sweeping southbound right-turn, resulting in one shared lane for all turning movements at each approach leg. Narrowing these approaches will provide a shorter pedestrian crossing with better visibility and yielding, as well as reduce driver confusion related to which vehicle may have stopped first.
- Adding crosswalk striping across the northern and eastern approaches.
- The OARs listed below were addressed, and no mitigation or deviations will be pursued as part of this project:
- OAR 734-051-4020(2)(b) Channelization Standards
- OAR 734-051-4020(2)(c) Sight Distance Standards
- OAR 734-051-4020(3)(b) Safety and Operation Concerns b, c, and d
- OAR 734-051-4020(8) Access Management Spacing Standards

[^2]| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 12.1 |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 158 | 52 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 112 | 18 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 72 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 158 | 52 | 1 | 1 | , | 112 | 18 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 72 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 10 | 304 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 215 | 35 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 138 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 13.5 |  |  | 8.5 |  |  | 11.7 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $85 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $14 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $1 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 131 | 215 | 3 | 76 |
| LT Vol | 112 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Through Vol | 18 | 158 | 1 | 1 |
| RT Vol | 1 | 52 | 1 | 72 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 252 | 413 | 6 | 146 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.374 | 0.547 | 0.009 | 0.199 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.339 | 4.759 | 5.459 | 4.908 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 665 | 748 | 658 | 735 |
| Service Time | 3.439 | 2.84 | 3.468 | 2.908 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.379 | 0.552 | 0.009 | 0.199 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.7 | 13.5 | 8.5 | 9.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 1.7 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.7 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh $\quad 58.3$ |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 198 | 139 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 240 | 23 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 98 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 198 | 139 | 1 | 1 | , | 240 | 23 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 98 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 10 | 381 | 267 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 462 | 44 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 188 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 81.8 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 46.6 |  |  | 13.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $91 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $9 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 264 | 342 | 3 | 107 |
| LT Vol | 240 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Through Vol | 1 | 198 | 1 | 6 |
| RT Vol | 508 | 659 | 1 | 98 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 1 | 1 | 6 | 206 |
| Geometry Grp | 0.914 | 1.074 | 0.012 | 0.367 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 6.758 | 5.877 | 7.881 | 6.752 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Convergence, Y/N | 538 | 612 | 457 | 537 |
| Cap | 4.758 | 3.954 | 5.881 | 4.752 |
| Service Time | 0.944 | 1.075 | 0.013 | 0.384 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 46.6 | 81.8 | 11 | 13.6 |
| HCM Control Delay | E | F | B | B |
| HCM Lane LOS | 10.9 | 18.7 | 0 | 1.7 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 74.6 |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 211 | 146 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 242 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 98 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 211 | 146 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 242 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 98 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 10 | 406 | 281 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 465 | 50 | 2 | 6 | 23 | 188 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 110.9 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 51.6 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  | B |  |  | F |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $90 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $10 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 269 | 362 | 3 | 113 |
| LT Vol | 242 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Through Vol | 26 | 211 | 1 | 12 |
| RT Vol | 1 | 146 | 1 | 98 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 517 | 696 | 6 | 217 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.936 | 1.158 | 0.012 | 0.393 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.969 | 5.987 | 8.156 | 7.009 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 522 | 606 | 441 | 517 |
| Service Time | 4.969 | 4.028 | 6.156 | 5.009 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.99 | 1.149 | 0.014 | 0.42 |
| HCM Control Delay | 51.6 | 110.9 | 11.3 | 14.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | F | B | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 11.6 | 23 | 0 | 1.9 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 89.4 |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 213 | 152 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 254 | 28 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 106 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 213 | 152 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 254 | 28 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 106 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 12 | 410 | 292 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 488 | 54 | 2 | 8 | 23 | 204 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 134.2 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 63.4 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  | B |  |  | F |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $90 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $10 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 283 | 371 | 3 | 122 |
| LT Vol | 254 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
| Through Vol | 28 | 213 | 1 | 12 |
| RT Vol | 1 | 152 | 1 | 106 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 544 | 713 | 6 | 235 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.986 | 1.218 | 0.013 | 0.429 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 7.149 | 6.146 | 8.502 | 7.223 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 512 | 592 | 424 | 502 |
| Service Time | 5.149 | 4.157 | 6.502 | 5.223 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.063 | 1.204 | 0.014 | 0.468 |
| HCM Control Delay | 63.4 | 134.2 | 11.6 | 15.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | F | B | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 13.2 | 26.1 | 0 | 2.1 |

Standards and Criteria for Approval of Private Approaches

| Table 1 - Channelization Standards |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product of Property Average daily trips Multiplied by the Abutting Highway Annual Average Daily Traffic (Millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Highway Lanes | Posted Speed 25 mph or lower | Posted Speed 30-35 mph | Posted Speed 40-45 mph | Posted Speed 50 mph or higher |
| 2 lanes | 5.1 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| 4 lanes | 10.2 | 7.8 | 3.6 | 2.6 |

Table 2: Intersection Sight Distance Standards (ISD) ${ }^{1}$

| Posted Speed (mph) | Assumed <br> Design <br> Speed ${ }^{2}$ <br> (mph) | Two-Way Highway -Number of Lanes Crossed by Vehicle Making Left Turn from Approach ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | One-Way Highway ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 Lane | 2 Lanes | 3 Lanes |  |
|  |  |  |  | SD (ft) |  |
| 20 | 25 | 280 | 295 | 315 | 240 |
| 25 | 30 | 335 | 355 | 375 | 290 |
| 30 | 35 | 390 | 415 | 440 | 335 |
| 35 | 40 | 445 | 475 | 500 | 385 |
| 40 | 45 | 500 | 530 | 565 | 430 |
| 45 | 55 | 610 | 650 | 690 | 530 |
| 50 | 65 | 720 | 765 | 815 | 625 |
| 55 | 70 | 775 | 825 | 875 | 670 |
| 60 | 70 | 775 | 825 | 875 | 670 |
| 65 | 70 | 775 | 825 | 875 | 670 |

${ }^{1}$ Standards in Table 2 are based on the methodology for sight distance calculations for passenger vehicles in the 2011 AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets.
${ }^{2}$ Assumed design speed is shown for purpose of correlating generally accepted highway design speeds with posted speeds. If the department establishes a higher design speed for a highway segment, the higher design speed, rather than the assumed design speed, shall be used to determine Intersection Sight Distance (ISD) in accordance with the methodology for sight distance calculations in the 2011 AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets.
${ }^{3}$ Left turn made from approach to nearest lane in direction of travel. Number of lanes includes right and left turn lanes and traversable medians. Calculation of ISD in this table is based on the methodology for sight distance calculations in the 2011 AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets for left turn from stop-controlled minor road. Four or more lanes require calculation of ISD in accordance with AASHTO procedure.
${ }^{4}$ Left or right turn made to nearest lane in direction of travel. Calculation of ISD in this table is based on 2011 AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets methodology for the right turn from stop-controlled minor road. Standards also apply to sections of highway where turning movements are restricted to right turns only by a non-traversable median and to approaches that prohibit left turns from the approach across opposing traffic.

| TABLE 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access Management Spacing Standards for |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Regional, <br>  <br> Unclassified <br> Highways | Statewide <br> Highways | Statewide <br> Highways | Statewide <br> Highways |  |  |
|  | Rural and <br> Urban Areas | Rural Areas | Urban Areas | Unincorporated <br> Communities in <br> Rural Areas |  |  |
| Speed (mph) | Spacing (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 or higher | 650 | 1,320 | 1,320 | 1,320 |  |  |
| 50 | 425 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 |  |  |
| $40 \& 45$ | 360 | 990 | 360 | 750 |  |  |
| $30 \& 35$ | 250 | 770 | 250 | 425 |  |  |
| $25 \&$ lower | 150 | 550 | 150 | 350 |  |  |

TABLE 4
Access Management Spacing Standards for Statewide Highways with Annual Average Daily Traffic > 5,000

|  | Expressway | Expressway |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural Areas | Urban Areas | Rural Areas | Urban Areas |
| Speed (mph) | Spacing (ft) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 or higher | 5,280 | 2,640 | 1,320 | 1,320 |
| 50 | 5,280 | 2,640 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| $40 \& 45$ | 5,280 | 2,640 | 990 | 800 |
| $30 \& 35$ | - | - | 770 | 500 |
| $25 \&$ lower | - | - | 550 | 350 |

Intersection: 7: OR-242 \& Site Access

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 12 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 | 46 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 870 | 307 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 7: OR-242 \& Site Access

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 22 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 11 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 870 | 307 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Whereas, pursuant to ORS 810.180, the Oregon Department of Transportation has been requested to establish designated speeds) for the below described sections) of state, county, city or federal agency highway as defined by ORS 801.305; and

Whereas, the State Traffic Engineer has been authorized to act on behalf of the Oregon Transportation Commission; and

Whereas, pursuant to ORS 810.180, an engineering and traffic investigation has been made; the data, facts, and information obtained in connection with said engineering and traffic investigation are on file in the office of the State Traffic Engineer at the Oregon Department of Transportation in Salem, Oregon; and

Whereas, based upon said engineering and traffic investigation, the State Traffic Engineer has found that the speed designated in ORS 811.105 or ORS 811.111 is greater than is reasonable under the conditions found to exist upon the sections) of highway for which a lesser speed is herein designated or that the speed designated in said statute is less than is reasonable under the conditions found to exist upon the section (s) of highway for which a greater speed is herein designated; and

Whereas, the provisions of ORS 810.180 respecting notice and hearing have been complied with:
It is Therefore Ordered that the designated speed for the following sections) of highway be as follows:

Name

## McKenzie Hwy (OR 242/OR 126)


$\qquad$

Be it further ordered that the roadway authority or authorities responsible for the above sections) of highway install appropriate signs giving notice of the designated speeds) therefore as per ORS 810.180, Subsection 4(c) and/or Subsection 5(e).

Be it further ordered that signs installed pursuant to this order comply with the provisions of ORS 810.210 and 810.220 .
Be it further ordered that any previous order made by the Department with respect to the designated speed for the above sections) of highway which is in conflict with the provisions of this order is hereby rescinded.

Be it further ordered that this order will remain in effect until and unless rescinded by the State Traffic Engineer of the Oregon Department of Transportation.


## Oregon Department of Transportation

## 2020 - On-State, Top 15\% SPIS Groups - By Hwy, MP

| Rte | Rdwy | BMP | EMP | Length | ADT | Crash | Fatal | A | B | C | City | County | Connection | Percent | SPIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $004$ | The |  |  | Ifor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US-26 | 1 | 92.18 | 92.27 | 0.09 | 10,722 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | Madras | Jefferson | A ST. | 90 | 48.21 |
| US-97 | 1 | 98.28 | 98.46 | 0.18 | 10,600 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | Jefferson | SE DOVER LN. | 95 | 60.58 |
| US-97 | 1 | 105.65 | 105.82 | 0.17 | 11,200 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |  | Jefferson | LEG (TO HWY. 361) | 85 | 39.75 |
| US-97 | 1 | 117.41 | 117.58 | 0.17 | 17,900 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | Deschutes | NW GALLOWAY AVE. | 85 | 43.62 |
| US-97 | 1 | 121.35 | 121.54 | 0.19 | 28,300 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | Redmond | Deschutes | LEG (TO HWY. 015 DECREASING RDWY) | 85 | 44.55 |
| US-97 | 1 | 121.89 | 122.07 | 0.18 | 29,200 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 | Redmond | Deschutes | SW VETERANS WAY | 90 | 56.58 |
| US-97 | 1 | 122.17 | 122.35 | 0.18 | 29,200 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 15 | Redmond | Deschutes | SW PUMICE AVE. | 95 | 68.99 |
| US-97 | 1 | 122.28 | 122.39 | 0.11 | 29,200 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | Redmond | Deschutes |  | 90 | 51.61 |
| US-97 | 1 | 122.78 | 122.88 | 0.10 | 29,111 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | Redmond | Deschutes | ODEM MEDO RD. | 85 | 41.21 |
| US-97 | 1 | 123.27 | 123.45 | 0.18 | 29,000 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Redmond | Deschutes | ROAD (YEW AVE.) | 90 | 46.11 |
| US-97 | 1 | 128.49 | 128.67 | 0.18 | 40,300 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  | Deschutes | 61 ST ST. | 90 | 53.80 |
| US-97 | 1 | 133.99 | 134.18 | 0.19 | 35,633 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 14 | Bend | Deschutes | COOLEY RD. | 95 | 67.23 |
| US-97 | 1 | 134.54 | 134.66 | 0.12 | 38,766 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | Bend | Deschutes | ROBAL RD. | 85 | 40.32 |
| US-97 | 1 | 139.89 | 140.05 | 0.16 | 29,900 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | Bend | Deschutes |  | 90 | 54.43 |
| US-97 | 1 | 161.66 | 161.81 | 0.15 | 10,733 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | Deschutes | PRAIRIE DR. | 85 | 40.74 |
| US-97 | 1 | 196.94 | 197.09 | 0.15 | 5,100 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | Klamath |  | 90 | 47.08 |
| US-97 | 1 | 290.91 | 291.09 | 0.18 | 4,200 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | Klamath |  | 85 | 43.15 |

## 005 John Day

| OR-19 | 1 | 59.81 | 59.99 | 0.18 | 450 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Wheeler |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 007 Central Oregon

| US-20 | 1 | 0.85 | 1.03 | 0.18 | 26,200 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | Bend | Deschutes | NE 7TH ST. | 95 | 68.32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-20 | 1 | 2.08 | 2.26 | 0.18 | 26,200 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | Bend | Deschutes | NE DEAN SWIFT RD. | 95 | 67.64 |
| US-20 | 1 | 2.45 | 2.63 | 0.18 | 18,700 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | Bend | Deschutes | 27 TH ST. | 85 | 43.21 |

[^3]
## Oregon Department of Transportation

Region

## 2020 - On-State, Top 15\% SPIS Groups - By Hwy, MP

| Rte | Rdwy | BMP | EMP | Length | ADT | Crash | Fatal | A | B | C | City | County | Connection | Percent | SPIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-20 | 1 | 3.47 | 3.65 | 0.18 | 14,055 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 7 |  | Deschutes | LEG (TO WARD RD.) | 95 | 76.59 |
| US-20 | 1 | 4.48 | 4.66 | 0.18 | 9,700 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  | Deschutes | TORKELSON RD. | 85 | 40.22 |


| 014 Crooked River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR-27 | 1 | 25.91 | 26.09 | 0.18 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Crook |  | 90 | 45.12 |
| 015 McKenzie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OR-126 | 1 | 98.35 | 98.50 | 0.15 | 4,800 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | Deschutes | FRYREAR RD. | 90 | 51.49 |
| OR-126 | 1 | 109.56 | 109.74 | 0.18 | 10,900 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | Deschutes | SW HELMHOLTZ WAY | 90 | 54.60 |
| OR-126 | 1 | 110.96 | 111.14 | 0.18 | 19,700 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | Redmond | Deschutes | RIMROCK WAY | 90 | 45.77 |
| OR-126 | 1 | 111.73 | 111.83 | 0.10 | 7,100 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | Redmond | Deschutes | SW 9TH ST. (SW NINTH ST.) | 85 | 44.75 |
| OR-126 | 1 | 111.81 | 111.98 | 0.17 | 7,100 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 | Redmond | Deschutes | SW 7TH ST. (SW SEVENTH ST.) | 90 | 56.67 |
| OR-126 | 2 | 111.82 | 112.00 | 0.18 | 6,200 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | Redmond | Deschutes | 7TH ST. | 95 | 67.83 |

## 017 McKenzie-Bend

| US-20 | 1 | 14.62 | 14.81 | 0.19 | 14,600 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 |  | Deschutes | BAILEY RD. | 95 | 72.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-20 | 1 | 16.65 | 16.83 | 0.18 | 22,100 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 |  | Deschutes | OLD REDMOND-BEND HWY. | 95 | 61.84 |
| US-20 | 1 | 19.57 | 19.74 | 0.17 | 24,400 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Bend | Deschutes | MT. WASHINGTON DR. | 95 | 57.56 |
| US-20 | 1 | 20.32 | 20.50 | 0.18 | 17,733 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 9 | Bend | Deschutes | NE THURSTON AVE. | 95 | 61.12 |

## 018 Willamette

| OR-58 | 1 | 72.81 | 73.00 | 0.19 | 2,500 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Klamath | CRESCENT CUTOFF RD. | 90 | 52.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 019 Fremont |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US-395 | 1 | 141.41 | 141.59 | 0.18 | 1,900 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Lake |  | 90 | 46.90 |


| 020 Klamath Falls-Lakeview |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR-39 | 1 | 3.13 | 3.36 | 0.23 | 27,100 | 40 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 28 | Klamath | DERBY ST. | 95 | 77.84 |
| OR-39 | 1 | 3.34 | 3.47 | 0.13 | 27,100 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 9 | Klamath | FARGO ST. | 90 | 51.86 |
| OR-39 | 1 | 3.40 | 3.53 | 0.13 | 27,100 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 | Klamath | GARY ST. | 90 | 51.86 |

[^4]
## Oregon Department of Transportation

## 2020 - On-State, Top 15\% SPIS Groups - By Hwy, MP

| Rte <br> OR-39 | Rdwy 1 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { BMP } \\ 3.53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EMP } \\ 3.70 \end{gathered}$ | Length 0.17 | $\underset{26,688}{\text { ADT }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crash } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fatal } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{B} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | C 8 | City | County <br> Klamath | Connection <br> HOPE ST. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPIS } \\ & 49.83 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR-39 | 1 | 3.77 | 3.87 | 0.10 | 22,600 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |  | Klamath | KANE ST. | 85 | 40.02 |
| OR-39 | 1 | 3.80 | 3.94 | 0.14 | 22,600 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |  | Klamath | KANE ST. | 90 | 45.21 |
| OR-39 | 1 | 3.91 | 4.09 | 0.18 | 22,600 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 9 |  | Klamath | HOMEDALE RD. | 95 | 62.97 |
| OR-39 | 1 | 4.42 | 4.59 | 0.17 | 17,500 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 3 |  | Klamath | SIMMERS AVE. | 90 | 53.63 |
| OR-140 | 1 | 52.80 | 52.95 | 0.15 | 1,200 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | Klamath |  | 85 | 41.20 |

## 021 Green Springs

| OR-66 | 1 | 52.90 | 53.09 | 0.19 | 2,900 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  | Klamath |  | 85 | 43.63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR-66 | 1 | 58.91 | 59.05 | 0.14 | 8,000 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | Klamath Falls | Klamath | DELAP RD. | 90 | 49.97 |

## 022 Crater Lake

| OR-62 | 1 | 91.29 | 91.41 | 0.12 | 1,200 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | Klamath | SUN MOUNTAIN RD. | 85 | 41.67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR-62 | 1 | 98.46 | 98.64 | 0.18 | 1,200 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | Klamath |  | 95 | 62.83 |
| OR-62 | 1 | 102.38 | 102.56 | 0.18 | 1,500 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | Klamath | SOUTH CHILOQUIN RD. | 85 | 41.20 |

## 041 Ochoco

| OR-126 | 1 | 9.00 | 9.11 | 0.11 | 11,800 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | Crook |  | 85 | 40.54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-26 | 1 | 18.52 | 18.61 | 0.09 | 12,933 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Prineville | Crook | NW DEER ST. | 85 | 38.95 |
| US-26 | 1 | 81.59 | 81.77 | 0.18 | 530 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  | Wheeler |  | 95 | 63.78 |
| US-26 | 1 | 83.95 | 84.12 | 0.17 | 530 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | Wheeler |  | 85 | 41.75 |

## 042 Sherman

| US-97 | 1 | 30.07 | 30.22 | 0.15 | 2,300 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | Sherman | EARLS LN. (EARLS <br> RD.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| US-97 | 1 | 43.11 | 43.29 | 0.18 | 2,200 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | Sherman |  |

044 Wapinitia

| OR-216 | 1 | 3.62 | 3.75 | 0.13 | 260 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | Wasco |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^5]
## Oregon Department of Transportation

## 2020 - On-State, Top 15\% SPIS Groups - By Hwy, MP

| Rte | Rdwy | BMP | EMP | Length | ADT | Crash | Fatal | A | B | C | City | County | Connection | Percent | SPIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $050$ | Hlamath Hals-Malin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OR-39 | 1 | -2.98 | -2.80 | 0.18 | 14,600 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 |  | Klamath | ROAD | 95 | 71.77 |
| OR-39 | 1 | 1.69 | 1.84 | 0.15 | 7,400 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | Klamath |  | 85 | 41.36 |
|  | 1 | 25.71 | 25.86 | 0.15 | 226 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Klamath | STASTNY RD. | 85 | 40.28 |



290 Sherars Bridge

| OR-216 | 1 | 7.54 | 7.72 | 0.18 | 170 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Wasco | WAYSIDE | 85 | 42.39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR-216 | 1 | 11.52 | 11.70 | 0.18 | 140 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Sherman |  | 85 | 44.38 |
| 291 Shaniko-Fossil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OR-218 | 1 | 11.90 | 12.08 | 0.18 | 180 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Wasco |  | 90 | 48.81 |
| OR-218 | 1 | 15.07 | 15.25 | 0.18 | 180 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Wasco |  | 85 | 41.81 |
| OR-218 | 1 | 27.95 | 28.14 | 0.19 | 140 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Wheeler |  | 85 | 44.38 |



## 380 Paulina

| OR-380 | 1 | 22.97 | 23.10 | 0.13 | 270 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Crook |  | 90 | 48.45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR-380 | 1 | 33.36 | 33.54 | 0.18 | 240 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Crook | ROAD (ODOT <br> STOCKPILE SITE) | 85 | 38.99 |

## 390 Service Creek-Mitchell

| OR-207 | 1 | 18.18 | 18.36 | 0.18 | 190 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | Wheeler |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^6]| Rte OR-207 | Rdwy 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { BMP } \\ 18.82 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EMP } \\ 19.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Length } \\ 0.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADT } \\ 190 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crash } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | Fatal 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | B 1 | C | City | County Wheeler | Connection | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPIS } \\ 51.91 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 424 South Klamath Fals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OR-140 | 1 | 0.77 | 0.93 | 0.16 | 9,400 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Klamath Falls | Klamath |  | 90 | 48.64 |
| 431 Warner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OR-140 | 1 | 38.88 | 39.06 | 0.18 | 220 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Lake |  | 85 | 39.83 |
| OR-140 | 1 | 48.57 | 48.75 | 0.18 | 220 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | Lake |  | 85 | 39.83 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ OAR 734-051-4020(2)(c), Table 2

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ https://www.oregon.gov/odot/Engineering/DocSPIS/Top15SPISgroupsByHwy_4_2020.pdf

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Oregon Department of Transportation, 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (Including Amendments November 1999 Through May 2015), May 2015, Table 13

[^3]:    **Crash data shown in the SPIS group report results from the summation of crash data between the begin and end mile points of the Group.
    **ADT, SPIS Score, and Percent data shown in the SPIS group report are the highest values from all sites within the Group.

[^4]:    ${ }^{* *}$ Crash data shown in the SPIS group report results from the summation of crash data between the begin and end mile points of the Group.
    **ADT, SPIS Score, and Percent data shown in the SPIS group report are the highest values from all sites within the Group.

[^5]:    **Crash data shown in the SPIS group report results from the summation of crash data between the begin and end mile points of the Group.
    **ADT, SPIS Score, and Percent data shown in the SPIS group report are the highest values from all sites within the Group.

[^6]:    **Crash data shown in the SPIS group report results from the summation of crash data between the begin and end mile points of the Group.
    **ADT, SPIS Score, and Percent data shown in the SPIS group report are the highest values from all sites within the Group.

